

WEEK 35, 2019

THE EPOCH TIMES

AMERICAN CROSSROADS



A SHORT HISTORY OF
COMMUNIST SUBVERSION
OF AMERICA

See Page 2

NEWS ANALYSIS

A Short History of Communist Subversion of America

J.R. NYQUIST



The U.S. government was first penetrated by communist agents in the 1930s. This was documented by congressional investigations and by authors such as M. Stanton Evans, Herbert Romerstein, Diana West, and former U.S. President Herbert Hoover (among others).

The communists greatly benefited from the election of President Franklin Roosevelt in 1933. It was Roosevelt who opened diplomatic relations with Moscow, even as he welcomed “progressives” into government. Prior to his inauguration, Roosevelt was warned by his predecessor, President Herbert Hoover, about two ongoing Soviet conspiracies: a massive Soviet counterfeiting operation that threatened the U.S. dollar; and an attempt to overthrow the U.S. government by mobilizing angry veterans through the “Bonus Army.”

Hoover’s warnings were ignored by Roosevelt, who saw nothing wrong with communism. Evans and Romerstein have pointed out that Roosevelt’s recognition of the Soviet Union gave an aura of legitimacy to the communists, helping them to “create formidable networks of apparatchiks on American soil.”

In 1938, a special House Committee on Un-American Activities was appointed under the chairmanship of Rep. Martin Dies (D-Texas). When the Committee sought to investigate communist involvement with an important labor union, the White House summoned Dies to a meeting with the president.

Arriving at the White House, Dies found Roosevelt chatting with Sen. John Sheppard (D-Texas). After Dies entered, Roosevelt turned to Sheppard and said, “Senator, what are we going to do about Martin?” The senator was confused by the question, so Roosevelt clarified: “You know, all this business about investigating communists is a serious mistake.” Roosevelt then told Dies he didn’t want any investigations of that kind.

The communist infiltration that began in the 1930s accelerated after the United States allied itself with Stalin in World War II. FBI and congressional investigations show that many hundreds of Soviet agents and Communist Party members worked for the U.S. government during the war.

“In due course, many such pro-Soviet operatives rose to fairly high positions,” wrote Evans and Romerstein, “which made their allegiance to Moscow even more problematic.”

Under Roosevelt’s leadership, communist subversion was bound to thrive. By 1939, the communists had established at least four important “cells” inside the U.S. government. According to Hoover, “These Communist informers gained strategic positions in the armed services, in almost every civil department, on the staffs of some Congressional committees and even had access to the White House.”

Hoover called it “an onslaught” against the American people. There is detailed information about that because four communist agents came forward as witnesses. These were, in order of importance, Whittaker Chambers, Elizabeth Bentley, Louis Budenz, and Hede Massing.

Not surprisingly, when some of this testimony became public in 1948, the U.S. government attempted a coverup. Rather than expelling communist agents from sensitive government jobs, the Truman administration wanted to prosecute Chambers for perjury. Meanwhile, Bentley’s testimony, which was given to a grand jury over a period of several months,



British Prime minister Winston Churchill (L), US president Franklin Delano Roosevelt (C) and Secretary general of the Soviet Communist Party Joseph Stalin (R) pose at the start of the Conference of the Allied powers in Yalta, Crimea, on Feb. 4, 1945.

resulted in not a single indictment. The Justice Department (DOJ), then as now, was more inclined to protect communist subversives than prosecute them.

There is no better example of DOJ malfeasance than the Amerasia scandal, which began in 1945. To tell the story briefly, it came to the FBI’s attention that a pro-communist magazine, Amerasia, had quoted material from classified government documents—specifically, from a secret OSS memo.

The subsequent FBI probe discovered that a State Department official, John Stewart Service, was supplying the magazine with confidential information. When the FBI moved in to arrest three of those involved, investigators discovered more than 1,000 government documents at Amerasia’s offices.

FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover said the case was “airtight,” but the DOJ, contrary to the evidence, took a different view. According to FBI records, two White House officials and several persons at the DOJ manipulated a grand jury to “fix” the case.

Grand jury tampering is a serious crime, and Hoover was undoubtedly alarmed. In 1965, Budenz would explain that Hoover was destined to become irrelevant, that vigilance against communism had become unacceptable to the establishment. Therefore, Sen. Joseph McCarthy (R-Wisc.) was made to be an example. Who then would be brave enough to stand against the Washington “swamp?”

Roosevelt opened the door to communist subversion, and it has remained open ever since. President Harry S. Truman decried Congress’s investigation into this subversion, calling it “a red herring.” President Dwight Eisenhower didn’t want an investigation of the U.S. Army. Perhaps, he feared the public would discover that his former deputy, Gen. Walter Bedell Smith, had fallen under suspicion as a Soviet agent while heading the CIA. Like Truman, Eisenhower preferred to hide the truth.

President John F. Kennedy and his brother Robert didn’t like the security protocols that kept their leftist friends out of sensitive government jobs. The same can be said of President Johnson. President Richard Nixon hired Henry Kissinger, but Kissinger was accused by a British double agent of working for Soviet military intelligence.

Once the communists entered the government, it was too late to fix the problem by normal, parliamentary means. An honest person in government isn’t on a level

playing field with a communist in government; the communist has no moral strictures or scruples, and can destroy you with the very power of the office at his disposal. An honest man wouldn’t think to abuse his office in this manner.

To make matters worse, the “watchdog” media is no watchdog at all. Communists have infiltrated newspapers and the major television networks, and if someone were to try to blow the whistle on communist subversion today, they would be denounced as a “McCarthyist.”

The media, to some extent, has twisted the public mind. The lies that have been told with regard to communism and anti-communism are too many to count. The cynical calculation behind these falsehoods is rooted in past success. The communists have fooled the public again and again. Who can stop them?

Throughout the government, proper security measures have long since been set aside. In the 1960s, those who attempted to hold the line against communist infiltration, like Otto Otepka of the State Department, found themselves prosecuted by that same DOJ that tampered with a grand jury in the Amerasia case.

In the words of the former CIA counterintelligence expert James Angleton, “I never understood the great advantage the Russians had over us.... As Americans, we just hold no real value in secrecy. God, it was such a simple explanation.”

We want to feel good about our situation, but we ought to feel the sting of shame. We are tempted, day after day, to falsify and evade. The “big lie” of our time, of course, is the lie of communism; or rather, the many pleasing falsifications that communism promotes. We stand at the brink of an abyss.

President Donald Trump is under siege. To understand the why of it, we must first understand the history of communist subversion: The deep state is the communist fifth column, and the communist fifth column is the deep state.

J.R. Nyquist has been a columnist for WorldNetDaily, SierraTimes, and Financial Sense Online. He is the author of the books “Origins of the Fourth World War” and “The Fool and His Enemy,” as well as co-author of “The New Tactics of Global War.”

Views expressed in this article are the opinions of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of The Epoch Times.

NEWS ANALYSIS

Orwell Explains How Socialists Alter Language to Alter History



JOSHUA PHILIPP



George Orwell wrote that through altering the past, and by portraying any remembered history as evil, socialist regimes could render classic texts

such as the U.S. Declaration of Independence incomprehensible in their original context. People then would be incapable of understanding the original intentions behind them.

And as if to demonstrate how close today’s society has come to what Orwell warned of, the Declaration of Independence has been framed just like this today. Under the new idea of “hate speech,” the censors at Facebook flagged the Declaration of Independence as containing offensive language.

To demonstrate the full scale of irony, let’s look at what Orwell predicted in his novel “1984”:

“In practice this meant that no book written before approximately 1960 could be translated as a whole. Pre-revolutionary literature could only be subjected to ideological translation—that is, alteration in sense as well as language. Take for example the well-known passage from the Declaration of Independence.”

Orwell then quotes the passage: “We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their creator with certain inalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

“That to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men, deriving their powers from the consent of the governed.”

With that statement, the Founding Fathers of the United States described truths they believed were self-evident: that the rights of men—and equal opportunities in the world—are given to men by their divine creator. And that among these natural rights are the right to live, the right to liberty, and the right to pursue happiness.

The Founding Fathers state that men institute government to secure these natural rights and that government derives its power from the consent of those it governs. This concept, in and of itself, goes against the totalitarian trinity of socialism, fascism, and communism that took hold of the world in the 20th century.

Subverting Totalitarianism

Under modern totalitarian systems,

People walk past a mural depicting British novelist George Orwell with the quote “Freedom is the right to tell people what they do not want to hear,” in Belgrade, on May 8, 2018.

the people are given their rights by the government—not the other way around. In these systems, there are no natural rights, and there is no creator beyond the halls of government; happiness is in money and immoral indulgence, rather than in virtue; and the average man is seen as too foolish to be given the freedoms of liberty.

Orwell saw this coming. His fictional totalitarian regime was specifically a socialist regime, with “INGSOC” being his acronym for “English Socialism.”

Orwell continues his quoting of the Declaration of Independence, noting the next line: “That whenever any form of government becomes destructive to those ends, it is the right of the people to alter or abolish it, and to institute new government.”

In other words, if a regime opposes the natural rights it describes, and goes against the idea that government arises from the “consent of the governed,” specifically to uphold these natural rights, then the people of that country have the right to alter or abolish that government, and build a new one.

With that idea in force, none of the totalitarian regimes of the 20th century could stand for long.

Newspeak

Understanding this, Orwell imagined how a socialist regime would deal with such subversive values. Orwell’s answer was the idea of a government-altered language and a warped system of values that could alter the way people interpret information. And this isn’t purely fiction either—as altering the way people interpret information is the goal of psychological warfare.

Orwell called this form of altered language and doctored method of perception “Newspeak.”

To illustrate how this system works, Orwell explained how it would be applied by socialism to alter how people interpret the Declaration of Independence. He said that with a document like this, the very concepts would have to be regarded as criminal, and “a full translation could only be an ideological translation, whereby Jefferson’s words would be changed into a panegyric on absolute government.”

He explained that the phrase “all men are created equal” could be a sentence used by the regime, but it would change it to “all mans [sic] are equal,” and its meaning would be interpreted differently to express a “palpable truth” such as the

idea that “all men are of equal size, weight, or strength.”

In the same way, in our modern systems, the meaning of “all men are created equal” has been changed. Some interpret it through the lens of identity politics, that alleged differences in equality between races should be resolved by government tyranny to manufacture equal outcomes. Rather than have an equal shot at life, the modern totalitarians believe equality should be enforced so that any effort leads to the same equal outcome.

This, of course, deviates from the idea that people are “created” equal by the divine, and that government should not inhibit the liberty, freedom, or “pursuit of happiness” of an individual or group—especially not through mass social engineering that would categorize and regulate people by race.

BlackWhite

Others today try to discredit the Declaration of Independence altogether by attacking the legitimacy of the Founding Fathers and the system of government they created for the United States.

This is based in the idea of criticizing the past, often through a lens of perception that has been altered by socialist politics. The main tool used for this in modern socialist academics is “critical theory,” which teaches students to interpret all of history through the Marxist lens of the alleged struggle between the “oppressor” and the “oppressed.”

Under this Marxist lens, the Founding Fathers become the “oppressed” fighting against the “oppressors” in Britain, making them communist revolutionary figures in the eyes of people indoctrinated by this ideology. However, they also become “oppressors” because they held slaves, thereby discrediting any of their actions or claims in the eyes of people who use this system of logic. Through this system, the perceptions of the Founding Fathers can be used in different ways, as political interest demands.

Orwell also explained how this would work. He called this concept “BlackWhite.” He wrote, “Like so many Newspeak words, this word has two mutually contradictory meanings.” Applied to an enemy, “it means the habit of impudently claiming that black is white, in contradiction of the plain facts,” and when applied to a Party member, “it means a loyal willingness to say that black is white when Party

discipline demands this.”

In other words, it means that people can say something is bad when it fits their ideological interest. And say the same thing is good when it fits their ideological interest. The perception of right and wrong is no longer based on a set system of values, but instead on whatever the socialist policy demands at that moment.

This concept is at the heart of today’s double standards in socialist politics—where something is good or excusable if done by their own camp, yet evil and worthy of constant attack if done by their opponents. Yet, as Orwell explained, it’s not a conscious system of double standards, but instead an internalized belief: “It means also the ability to BELIEVE that black is white, and more, to KNOW that black is white, and to forget that one has ever believed the contrary.”

DoubleThink

“This demands a continuous alteration of the past, made possible by the system of thought which really embraces all the rest, and which is known in Newspeak as DOUBLE-THINK,” Orwell wrote.

And Orwell explained that altering how the past is perceived is an important part of socialist tyranny. Without real knowledge of the past, a person will tolerate his current conditions “partly because he has no standards of comparison.” As a means of control under socialism, Orwell explained, the citizen must “believe that he is better off than his ancestors and that the average level of material comfort is constantly rising.”

Orwell wrote, “But by far the more important reason for the readjustment of the past is the need to safeguard the infallibility of the Party.”

“The mutability of the past is the central tenet of Ingsoc,” he wrote. “Past events, it is argued, have no objective existence, but survive only in written records and in human memories. The past is whatever the records and the memories agree upon.”

“And since the Party is in full control of all records and in equally full control of the minds of its members, it follows that the past is whatever the Party chooses to make it.”

Views expressed in this article are the opinions of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of The Epoch Times.

How One California Marxist Is Indoctrinating Millions of School Children

TREVOR LOUDON

Commentary
Democratic Socialists of America (DSA) is coming for your children.

Not content with taking over school boards and sending comrades into the teaching profession, the United States' largest Marxist group is also writing the textbooks your children study.

California DSA member Duane Campbell helped write the new History-Social Science Framework for the state, which was adopted in 2017. Sacramento-based Campbell, a DSA comrade since at least 1983, is an emeritus professor of bilingual/multicultural education at California State University-Sacramento and former chair of the Sacramento DSA. He is also the author of "Choosing Democracy: A Practical Guide to Multicultural Education."

According to Campbell, "Because of California's large size and market, what goes into California textbooks frequently also gets written into textbooks around the nation."

In the mid-1990s, Campbell was a contributing editor to Oakland-based Maoist-leaning CrossRoads magazine, which sought to "promote dialogue and build new alliances among progressives and leftists ... to bring diverse Marxist and socialist traditions to bear, while exploring new strategies and directions for the progressive political movements."

In the mid-2000s, Campbell was a contributor to a Bay Area socialist blog called Educational Justice, described as being "from a collective of progressive education activists—stuff about teaching, thinking, parenting, social justice, desegregation, self-determination, economic justice, music, creativity, and building progressive movements for our future."

Other contributors included Tom Edminster, a teacher's unionist and DSA member; Karen Zapata of Teachers 4 Social Justice; and Eric Mar, a Freedom Road Socialist Organization supporter and, like Campbell, a member of Progressives for Obama.

As a young man, Campbell was one of several communist or socialist organizers with Cesar Chavez's United Farm Workers union, an experience he now wants to impart to America's youth.

Campbell has served on DSA's leadership body, the National Political Committee, and has also served on the DSA's Latino Commission and Anti-Racism Commission. In 2017, Campbell was co-chair of the DSA Immigrant's Rights Committee. Campbell is steeped in Marxist racial politics.

More Voters

The DSA's interest in education is completely political. Inspired by Italian Communist Party theoretician Antonio Gramsci, DSA seeks to infiltrate U.S. society's main opinion-forming institutions to change the popular consciousness in a socialist direction. In the more short-term, DSA is committed to both expanding the Latino vote and pushing it to the left to give its allies in the Democratic Party an unchallengeable majority in national elections.

Campbell's DSA comrade, Eliseo Medina, was also active in the United Farm Workers union under Chavez. Medina transferred to the Service Employees International Union (SEIU), rising to executive vice president. As one of the most influential advocates for amnesty for illegal immigrants in the country, Medina served as an informal immigration adviser to then-President Barack Obama.

When Obama was still a senator, he declared at a campaign stop while addressing SEIU, "Before immigration debates took place in Washington, I spoke with Eliseo Medina and SEIU members."

At the America's Future Now! con-

ference in Washington on June 2, 2009, Medina addressed attendees on the necessity of "comprehensive immigration reform."

Speaking of Latino voters, Medina explained their importance to the socialist project:

"When they voted in November, they voted overwhelmingly for progressive candidates. Barack Obama got two out of every three voters that showed up."

"So I think there's two things that matter for the progressive community: "Number one: If we are to expand this electorate to win, the progressive community needs to solidly be on the side of immigrants. That will expand and solidify the progressive coalition for the future. ...

"Number two: [If] we reform the immigration laws, it puts 12 million people on the path to citizenship and eventually voters. Can you imagine if we have, even the same ratio, two out of three?"

"If we have eight million new voters ... [we] will create a governing coalition for the long term, not just for an election cycle."

Campbell wants to use his influence on the education system to play his part in DSA's revolutionary program.

Educational Change

In the early 2010s, Campbell set up a network to change the California history and social studies textbooks, which had mainly been written by objective historians appointed by Gov. Ronald Reagan.

Wrote Campbell: "I have spent more than six years working on this project—and it was well worth it. The important changes we achieved were produced by years of collective advocacy, lobbying, letter writing, and organizing. After being blocked in our efforts in 2008, we created the Mexican American Digital History site, then organized a statewide network of scholars and community activists to pressure the State Board of Education.

"At each stage, we had to explain why this tedious process of changing the Framework was important. We received assistance from civil rights groups and Latinos in the Democratic Party. Similar and parallel campaigns were organized within the Filipino, Hmong, South Asian, and LGBT communities."

According to Campbell, this work will result in a new "progressive" path of learning for California's school children: "History and social science textbooks in public schools in California and most of the nation are racist, class-biased, and ignore LGBT history. This

condition will change in California in 2017 when new textbooks are adopted.

"Under a unanimous decision by the California Board of Education made on July 14, 2016, California students will finally be encouraged to know the history of Latino civil rights leaders like Cesar Chavez and Dolores Huerta and Filipino labor leaders like Larry Itliong, as well as an accurate and inclusive history of LGBT activists as a part of the history of California and the nation. These topics are currently substantially absent from public school textbooks."

That's right—now young Californians can learn all about Campbell's old boss Chavez, a man who trained for six years in Chicago with the father of "community organizing" himself: Saul Alinsky. They'll also learn about Chavez's right-hand woman Dolores Huerta, a longtime DSA comrade and general communist hang-around.

Chavez worked with a lot of Communist Party USA supporters, including Filipino labor organizer Larry Itliong—who will also be profiled in the new curriculum.

This is supposedly all about fairness and giving minorities equal treatment. Teaching kids about communists, it seems, will make them better students and more engaged citizens—especially the more than 1 in 10 students who Campbell claims are homosexual:

"In the current books ... the 51 percent of students who are Latino, the 11.5 percent who are Asian, and the estimated 11 percent of students who are LGBT, do not see themselves as part of history, for many their sense of self is marginalized."

"As I argued in a prior book, marginalization negatively impacts their connections with school and their success at school. This has resulted in a nearly 50 percent dropout rate for Latinos and some Asian groups and LGBT students."

Campbell then goes on to reel off a whole list of leftist individuals and the radical events they inspired, including the occupation of Alcatraz Island in San Francisco Bay by communist-inspired militants, the communist-inspired American Indian Movement and the standoff at Wounded Knee in South Dakota, the Marxist-led La Raza Unida Party, and the communist-led Chicano Moratorium against the Vietnam War.

And, of course, the 11 percent of California's children who are allegedly LGBT shouldn't feel left out. Their far-left champions are profiled, too: "California activists like Harvey Milk and Cleve Jones were part of a broader movement that emerged in

the aftermath of the Stonewall riots, which brought a new attention to the cause of equal rights for homosexual Americans."

Coincidentally, these educational priorities may end up helping DSA's revolutionary electoral strategy. According to Campbell:

"School marginalization also contributes directly to low-level civic engagement. An accurate history would provide some of these students with a sense of self, of direction, of purpose. History and social science classes should help young people acquire and learn to use the skills, knowledge, and attitudes that will prepare them to be competent and responsible citizens throughout their lives. ...

"And, while California and the nation have a general problem with low civic engagement among young people, it is also true that the state has a very specific problem with the rate of Latino and Asian voter participation in civic life.

"Rates of voting and voter registration provide a window into civic engagement. The proportion of state voter registration that is Latino and Asian has remained far below the proportions of these groups in the state's overall population. ...

"We know that we can do better. California has the largest school population of any state, with more than 6,226,000 students in school in 2015, more than 11 percent of the United States total."

So, not only will millions of California school children be moved to the left by Campbell's pro-communist propaganda, but they will also likely vote in significantly higher numbers. This will, of course, consolidate the left's already iron-grip on California politics, but will likely affect other states as well.

One well-placed Marxist operative can negatively influence millions, even hundreds of millions, of people. Every pro-American school board in the country should immediately review and probably ban all history and social studies textbooks coming out of California.

Trevor Loudon is an author, filmmaker, and public speaker from New Zealand. For more than 30 years, he has researched radical left, Marxist, and terrorist movements and their covert influence on mainstream politics.

Views expressed in this article are the opinions of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of The Epoch Times.

Children walk by a school bus in Monterey Park, Calif., on April 28, 2017.



FREDERIC J. BROWN/AFP/GETTY IMAGES

TREVOR LOUDON



Commentary
The recent Democratic Socialists of America (DSA) national convention in Atlanta proved two things: A. The DSA is definitely a communist organization.

B. The DSA is rapidly becoming an integral part of the international communist movement.

Since cutting decades-old ties to the Socialist International in 2017 (SI is way too moderate for the new breed of DSA comrade), the DSA has built extensive ties to radical socialist and communist groups in the Muslim world, Latin America, Asia, and Europe.

The international guest list of the DSA national convention reflected both the organization's far-left turn and its commitment to worldwide socialist revolution.

Muslim World

From the Muslim world, the DSA hosted two Americans supporting Middle Eastern revolutionary causes and one representative from the currently unfolding revolution in Sudan.

Speaker Rasha Mubarak represented the U.S. Campaign for Palestinian Rights, an umbrella network of supporters of the Palestinian revolutionary movement. The campaign's advisory board includes Cornel West of the DSA and Ahmad Abuznaid, a Freedom Road Socialist Organization-linked activist and the son of Palestinian civil servant Nabil Abuznaid. The senior Abuznaid was a "close friend" and adviser to Yasser Arafat, founder of the U.S. State Department-designated terror group Palestine Liberation Organization.

Mubarak is the regional director for central Florida of the Florida Young Democrats, co-founder of Floridians Responding to Refugees, and former central Florida regional director at the Council on American Islamic Relations (CAIR), which was founded by U.S.-based pro-Hamas activists. Mubarak is also close to DSA member and pro-Palestine Rep. Rashida Tlaib (D-Mich.).

Hanan Yahya, a staffer with the office of Detroit City Council member Raquel Castaneda-Lopez, represented the Yemeni Alliance Committee, which is sympathetic to the Iranian-backed rebel faction in the still-raging Yemeni civil war.

From Sudan came Nuha Zein, a geophysics professor and activist in the Sudanese Profes-

The DSA portrays itself domestically as a noncommunist political organization that supports a 'democratic' form of socialism.

sionals Association (SPA), which is a leading element in the current Sudanese revolution. According to a Liberation News interview with Fathi AlFadl, secretary for information and member of the Central Committee of the Sudanese Communist Party (SCP):

"The SCP maintains very close cooperation with the Sudanese Professionals Association. In fact, a number of the SCP work within the SPA. Also, as a party active in the field of trade union movements, the SCP attaches considerable attention to the activities and work of the SPA."

Latin America

Latin American guests included representatives from the pro-Cuba Workers Party of Brazil and the Peruvian far-left group Nuevo Peru.

Bruno Magalhaes, a Sao Paulo-based academic, represented the Brazilian Socialism and Liberty Party, a mainly Trotskyist grouping that broke away from the Workers Party some years ago, essentially because it was too moderate.

Asia

Asian guests included a representative of ZENKO (National Assembly for Peace and Democracy) from Japan, which is stridently anti-American and is known to have worked closely with the Iraqi Communist Party in the past.

Lawyer Aaron Pedrosa also fired up the DSA crowd with tales from the Philippines revolutionary movement. Pedrosa is a leader of labor group Sanlakas and the Partido Lakas ng Masa (Party of the Laboring Masses), both of which were founded by former leaders of the Maoist terror group Communist Party of the Philippines/New People's Army.

The DSA claims it wants to make the United States more like Norway and Sweden, but on the foreign stage, it works almost exclusively with communist and Trotskyist political allies.

The DSA isn't allied internationally with the moderate left-wing parties of Northern Europe, but with the openly communist parties of Western and Southern Europe and the "former" Stalinist communist parties of Eastern Europe.

That's because the "democratic socialist" lie is only for naive American voters. When the DSA welcomes its real friends into its bosom, they are almost invariably communists and terrorists.

Trevor Loudon is an author, filmmaker, and public speaker from New Zealand. For more than 30 years, he has researched radical left, Marxist, and terrorist movements and their covert influence on mainstream politics.

Cornel West, honorary chair of the DSA, speaks at the National Press Club in Washington on Feb. 21, 2017.



WIM MCNAMEE/GETTY IMAGES

The Democratic Socialists of America at Minneapolis' annual May Day parade on May 6, 2018.



NIC NEUFELD/SHUTTERSTOCK

THE EPOCH TIMES

TRUTH *and* TRADITION

A GREAT LEADER ELEVATES A NATION A GREAT PAPER TELLS YOU HOW

A great leader is prepared to take bold action when freedom, the republic, and the constitutional rights of the people are at stake.

A great media is prepared to buck the trend and truthfully report on the events as they unfold, while providing a wider context that helps position readers to act in their own and their

families' best interests.

The Epoch Times, a media committed to truthful and responsible journalism, is a rare bastion of hope and stability in these testing times.

Stand beside bold leadership and responsible journalism, and experience the assurance that real news insights bring.

SUBSCRIBE TODAY
ReadEpoch.com